UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA FORT WAYNE DIVISION

TFR	FSS	Δλ	/ 1		GAR.	
\mathbf{L}	LOO.	\neg	/I. I	$-\upsilon$	UAIX.	

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 1:22-CV-115 JD

COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL SECURITY,

Defendant.

OPINION AND ORDER

Plaintiff Teresa M. Lugar has moved through counsel for an award of attorney's fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b). (DE 27.) The Court previously remanded this social security appeal to the Commissioner for further proceedings on Ms. Lugar's claim for benefits. (DE 20). The Court also previously awarded attorneys' fees and costs under the Equal Access to Justice Act, 28 U.S.C. § 2412, in the amount of \$8,523.90. (DE 26.) On remand, Ms. Lugar was found disabled, and the Commissioner determined that she was entitled to benefits in the amount of \$96,533.72. (DE 27 ¶ 6.) According to the fee agreement executed with counsel, Ms. Lugar agreed to pay her counsel 25% of all past-due benefits to represent her before the United States District Court. Pursuant to this agreement, her counsel now seeks an award of \$15,000 from past due benefits. Also pursuant to this agreement, Ms. Lugar's counsel will retain the \$8,523.90 EAJA fee award as part of counsel's total compensation. This will result in total compensation to counsel of \$23,523.90, which is 24.4% of the past due benefits awarded to Ms. Lugar. For the reasons below the motion will be granted.

¹ In her motion, Ms. Lugar recognizes that "SSA is withholding \$24,133.43, which is 25% of Plaintiff's past due benefits, to pay attorney's fees." (Mot. Atty's Fees, DE 28 at 2.) However, her request for attorney's fees

Under § 406(b), an attorney who has successfully represented a claimant in federal court may receive "a reasonable fee for such representation, not in excess of 25 percent of the total past-due benefits to which the claimant is entitled by reason of such judgment" 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1)(A). Counsel cannot recover fees under both the EAJA and § 406(b), though, so they must either refund the EAJA award or subtract that amount from the § 406(b) request. *See Gisbrecht v. Barnhart*, 535 U.S. 789, 796 (2002) (explaining that "an EAJA award offsets an award under Section 406(b)").

The fees awarded under 406(b) must be reasonable. *Gisbrecht*, 535 U.S. at 809. The Supreme Court has explained:

Congress has provided one boundary line: Agreements are unenforceable to the extent that they provide for fees exceeding 25 percent of the past-due benefits. Within the 25 percent boundary . . . the attorney for the successful claimant must show that the fee sought is reasonable for the services rendered

Courts that approach fee determinations by looking first to the contingent-fee agreement, then testing it for reasonableness, have appropriately reduced the attorney's recovery based on the character of the representation and the results the representative achieved. If the attorney is responsible for delay, for example, a reduction is in order so that the attorney will not profit from the accumulation of benefits during the pendency of the case in court. If the benefits are large in comparison to the amount of time counsel spent on the case, a downward adjustment is similarly in order.

Id. at 807–08 (citations and footnotes omitted).

The Commissioner has indicated he does not object to the proposed fee award. (DE 29.) The Court independently finds that this total award of \$23,523.90 is reasonable and that it reflects an hourly rate of \$638 per hour for 36.9 hours of attorney work. This proposed attorney hourly rate is within the range of other awards approved by the Court. *See e.g. Long v. Saul*,

2

under § 406(b) is "in the sum of \$23,523.90." (*Id.* at 1, 5.) Ms. Lugar also submitted a separate "authorization for attorney's fees" in which she "consent[s] to an award of \$23,523.90 and agree[s] that [her] attorney should keep the EAJA fee of \$8,523.90 in partial satisfaction of award." (DE 30.)

USDC IN/ND case 1:22-cv-00115-JD-SLC document 31 filed 07/22/24 page 3 of 3

2021 WL 2588110, at *1 (N.D. Ind. June 24, 2021) (collecting cases) (approving an award of

\$1,711.96 per attorney hour).

Accordingly, the Court GRANTS the Plaintiff's Motion for Authorization of Attorney

Fees Pursuant to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) (DE 27) and AWARDS attorney

fees under 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) in the gross amount of \$15,000. Counsel shall also retain her prior

award of \$8,523.90 in EAJA fees. This results in net compensation to counsel in the amount of

\$23,523.90. The Commissioner is ORDERED to disperse to Plaintiff's counsel of record, the

sum \$15,000 from the amount withheld from Plaintiff's past-due benefits in satisfaction of the

gross § 406(b) fee award, and any remaining amount withheld from Plaintiff's past-due benefits

shall be dispersed to Plaintiff, all in accordance with agency policy after payment of any fee that

may be due pursuant to the Social Security Act, 42 U.S.C. § 406(a).

SO ORDERED.

ENTERED: July 22, 2024

/s/ JON E. DEGUILIO

Judge

United States District Court

3